
The specification of which a. 
is attached hereto

## SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.





As a below named inventor I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and that I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: REDUCING RELATIVE INTERMODULE MOTION IN A MODULAR IMPLANTABLE MEDICAL DEVICE

o.   was filed on December 9, 2  was (in the case of a PCT-fi  which I have reviewed and for wh	led application) described and cl	aimed in international no.	(if applicable) or filed and as amended on (if any),
hereby state that I have reviewed any amendment referred to above.	and understand the contents of t	he above-identified specificat	ion, including the claims, as amended by
acknowledge the duty to disclose Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attach		o the patentability of this appl	ication in accordance with Title 37, Code of
certificate listed below and have a hat of the application on the basis	so identified below any foreign of which priority is claimed:		eign application(s) for patent or inventor's ator's certificate having a filing date before
a. \( \sqrt{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tinit}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texiext{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\texi\tiexi{\text{\texit{\text{\ti}\tinttit{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\tinit{\tex{			
FOREIGN	APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CI	LAIMING PRIORITY UNDE	R 35 USC § 119
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
	APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI		
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
			·

I hereby claim the benefit of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

· U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS
60/431,854	09 December 2002	
60/471,262	16 May 2003	
60/503,945	20 September 2003	
60/503,946	20 September 2003	
60/507,857	01 October 2003	



and further appoint the following Practitioners:

Thomas G. Berry	Reg. No. 31,736	Girma Wolde-Michael	Reg. No. 36,724
Daniel G. Chapik	Reg No. 43,424	John Albrecht	Reg. No. 40,481
Stephen W. Bauer	Reg. No. 32,192	Elisabeth Belden	Reg. No. 50,751
James J. Bindseil	Reg. No. 42,326	Kenneth Collier	Reg. No. 34,982
Daniel Greeninger	Reg. No. 48,464	James R. Keogh	Reg. No. 44,824
Daniel W. Latham	Reg. No. 30,401	Catherine C. Maresh	Reg. No. 35,268
Paul McDowall	Reg. No. 34,873	Mark E. O'Connor	Reg. No. 40,108
Thomas F. Woods	Reg. No. 36,726	Michael Soldner	Reg. No. 41,455
Richard Yoon	Reg. No. 42,247		

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Steven J. Shumaker SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105 St. Paul, Minnesota 55125 Telephone: 651.735.1100

Facsimile: 651.735.1102

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name Wahlstrand	First Given Name Carl	Second Given Name D.
	Residence & Citizenship	City Lino Lakes	State or Foreign Count Minnesota	try Country of Citizenship United States of America
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 6347 Langer Lane	City Lino Lakes	State & Zip Code/Country MN 55038/USA
Signa	Signature of Inventor:			Date: 12MAY2WY

	Full Name Family Name Of Inventor Janzig		First Given Name Darren		Second Given Name A.
	Residence & Citizenship	City Centerville	State or Foreign Country Minnesota		Country of Citizenship United States of America
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 1909 73 <sup>rd</sup> St.	City Centerville		State & Zip Code/Country MN 55048/USA
Signa	ture of Inventor:	Danes a. Jany	1	Date:	MAY 2004
	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name Skime	First Given Name Robert		Second Given Name M.
	Residence & Citizenship	City Coon Rapids	State or Foreign Country Minnesota  City Coon Rapids		Country of Citizenship United States of America
	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 2357 132 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue NW			State & Zip Code/Country MN 55448/USA
Signa	ture of Inventor:	Robert M. Shin	e	Date:	lo May Zooy

## § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;

or .

- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.